



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | 1 | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/796,508 | 10/796,508 03/09/2004 | | Yuichi Ueda | MM8845US | 1950 |
| 22203 | 7590 | 01/04/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| KUSNER HIGHLAN | | - | GREENHUT, CHARLES N | | |
| HIGHLAND PLACE SUITE 310 6151 WILSON MILLS ROAD | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| HIGHLAN | HIGHLAND HEIGHTS, OH 44143 | | | 3652 DATE MAIL ED: 01/04/2006 | |
| | | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summer: | 10/796,508 | UEDA, YUICHI | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Charles N. Greenhut | 3652 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| | · | | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. | Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/9/04. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | | |

l. Information Disclosure Statement

1. Applicant is required to submit a copy of Japanese Patent Publication Number 5-67530. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

II. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation from the relevant paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 112:

- (2) The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 1. Claim 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - 1.1. Claim 1 uses the term "longitudinal" to apparently define the vertical direction when referring to the poles in line 9 but then uses the term "longitudinally opposite" to apparently refer to a different direction in line 15. While the masts and the platform may each have their own "longitudinal" direction and that direction may differ, if nothing indicating that scenario is defined, the term "longitudinal" must generally be construed to mean the longitudinal axis of the apparatus as a whole. In this case the language of the claim would indicate that to suspend and support the platform one

Application/Control Number: 10/796,508 Page 3

Art Unit: 3652

rope is connected to the top of the platform and one to the bottom, which, in actuality, is not the case.

1.2. Line 12 of claim 1 recites the phrase, "to freely rise and lower;" which indicates that the elements delineated after this phrase are raised and lowered, namely both the ropes and the driving wheel. However, it appears that, in actuality, only the ropes are raised and lowered, and the driving wheel should merely be delineated as an additional element of the claimed apparatus.

III. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claim(s) 1, and 5-6 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by TANAKA (JP 01-092108 A).
 - 1.1. With respect to claim 1, TANAKA discloses a running truck body that runs along a track (Fig. 1), a platform (9), longitudinal pair of raising and lowering poles (8b)/(8d), pair of ropes (11)/(12), driving wheel (21), and the ropes guided from the opposite sides of the upper part of the platform to a central portion of the running track, to the vicinity of a center of the platform (Fig. 2).
 - 1.2. With respect to claim 5, TANAKA additionally discloses a driving wheel at each end (Fig. 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/796,508 Page 4

Art Unit: 3652

1.3. With respect to claim 6, TANAKA additionally discloses the claimed guide wheel configuration (Fig. 2).

IV. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 1. Claim(s) 2-4 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over TANAKA (JP 01-092108 A).
 - 1.1. With respect to claim 2, TANAKA additionally discloses a tension setting device (Fig. 3). While TANAKA fails to disclose the tension setting device arranged in the platform, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to rearrange the parts of TANAKA so that the tensioner is located in the platform in order to protect the tensioner from interference and/or contamination.
 - 1.2. With respect to claim 3, TANAKA additionally discloses a spring and error detecting unit (Fig. 3).
 - 1.3. With respect to claim 4, TANAKA additionally discloses a spring, a moving member, setting jig, and detector (Fig. 3).

V. Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,508 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Charles N. Greenhut whose telephone number is (571) 272-1517. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm EST.

3. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

4. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

CG

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

la lelo